**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR**

**WP No. 909/06**
**Vijaysing v. Bhaskar and ors**

---

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's Orders or directions and Registrar's orders. | Court's or Judge's Orders |
|---|---|

---

Mr Kshirsagar, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr O.D. Kakde, AGP for respondents no. 3 and 4

Coram: A. B. Chaudhari, J

Dated: 12.9.2007

Heard. In the present petition, there is challenge to the concurrent finding of fact that the petitioner did receive demand bill of taxes but he did not pay the same within the stipulated period. The Authorities below have, therefore, disqualified the petitioner. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that the petitioner never received such demand bill and, therefore, the petitioner should not have been disqualified. The finding of fact recorded by the Authorities below cannot be faulted on any ground whatsoever. There is no reason for me to interfere in the

concurrent finding of fact on this aspect. Petition dismissed in limine. No costs.

Judge.

hsj